1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
·	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. OT2005-179
12	Probation Against:	
13	LYNN MARIE FARNEY 30990 Avenida del Reposo	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
14	Temecula, CA 92591	7
15	Occupational Therapist License No. OT 2989	
16	Respondent.	
17	Kesponaçni.	
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Heather Martin (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her	
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Occupational Therapy,	
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23.	2. On or about November 8, 2002, the California Board of Occupational Therapy issued	
24	Occupational Therapist License Number OT 2989 to Lynn Marie Farney (Respondent). The	
25	Occupational Therapist License was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein	
26	and will expire on May 31, 2011, unless renewed.	
27	111	
28		
		1 %

1	3. In a prior disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against Lynn	
2	Marie Farney," Case No. OT2005-179, the California Board of Occupational Therapy issued a	
3	decision, effective November 11, 2010, in which Respondent's Occupational Therapist License	
4	was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Occupational Therapist	
5	License was placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and conditions	
6	A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.	
7	JURISDICTION	
8	4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the California Board of	
9	Occupational Therapy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the	
10	following laws.	
11	5. Section 2570.26 states:	
12	a license, certificate, inactive license, inactive certificate, or limited permit.	
13		
14	(b) As used in this chapter, "license" includes a license, certificate, limited permit, or any other authorization to engage in practice regulated by this chapter.	
15 16	(c) The proceedings under this section shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein.	
17	6. Business and Professions Code section 2570.30 states:	
18	The board shall retain jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation, action or	
19	disciplinary proceeding against a license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking a license, regardless of the expiration, lapse, or suspension of the license by	
20	operation of law, by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or by the voluntary surrender of a license by the licensee.	
21	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
22	(Compliance with Probation and Quarterly Reporting)	
23	7. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:	
24	Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of probation	
25	Respondent, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, shall submit quarterly	
26		
27	the board.	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

26

27

28

I/I

III

III

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SD2011700165 / 80473395.doc

MANCO DE

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Submit Biological Fluid Samples)

11. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 18 stated:

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's cost, upon request by the Board or its designee. There will be no confidentiality in the test results; positive test results will be immediately reported to the Board and the respondent's current employer.

12. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 18, referenced above. In a letter to Respondent dated October 12, 2010, Respondent's probation monitor directed that within five days of the effective date of the Decision (November 11, 2010), Respondent must be enrolled in a biological testing program with FirstLabs. In two letters dated November 18, 2010, and December 9, 2010, Respondent's probation monitor warned that if she did not enroll in the FirstLab testing program, she would be subject to disciplinary action. As of March 15, 2011, Respondent has failed to enroll in a biological fluid testing program.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Occupational Therapy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the California Board of Occupational Therapy in Case No. OT2005-179 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Occupational Therapist License No. OT 2989 issued to Lynn Marie Farney;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Occupational Therapist License No. OT 2989, issued to Lynn Marie Farney;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

HEATHER MART

Executive Officer

California Board of Occupational Therapy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant